organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Piotr Stefanowicz, Łukasz Jaremko,* Mariusz Jaremko and Tadeusz Lis

Faculty of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, 14 Joliot-Curie Street, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland

Correspondence e-mail: jaremko@wcheto.chem.uni.wroc.pl

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.045 wR factor = 0.133 Data-to-parameter ratio = 30.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. 1-[(2-Naphthylsulfonyl)oxy]pyrrolidine-2,5-dione

The title compound, $C_{14}H_{11}NO_5S$, is an ester of β -naphthylsulfonic acid and *N*-hydroxysuccinimide. The N atom retains a flattened pyramidal geometry. The C atoms of the succinic ring are coplanar and the N atom is slightly displaced from their plane, leading to a pseudo-envelope conformation. The crystal structure is stabilized by a three-dimensional network of weak $C-H\cdots O$ and offset π -stacking interactions. Received 4 March 2005 Accepted 4 April 2005 Online 16 April 2005

Comment

The molecular structure and atom-numbering scheme of the title compound, (I), are presented in Fig. 1. The O1-N bond length [1.384 (1) Å] is comparable with the distances reported for non-tosylated N-hydroxyimides [mean value 1.383 (5) Å; Karolak-Wojciechowska et al., 1993; Miao et al., 1995], but slightly smaller than those reported for benzenesulfonic derivatives [1.395 (1)–1.401 (1) Å; Grigorieva & Chetkina, 1977]. The C11-N [1.392 (1) Å] and N-C14 [1.401 (1) Å] bond lengths are slightly longer than typical values for C–N succinimide bonds [ca 1.375 (5) Å; Karolak-Wojciechowska et al., 1993]. The N atom is displaced from the C11/C14/O1 plane by 0.150 (1) Å and thus has a flattened pyramidal geometry, as may also be deduced from the sum of the O1-N-C14, O1-N-C11 and C14-N-C11 bond angles [356.6°; Table 2]. Such a situation was also observed for N-benzenesulfonyloxynaphthalimide (Grigorieva & Chetkina, 1977), but was not observed for almost-planar N-hydroxyimides (Karolak-Wojciechowska et al., 1993; Miao et al., 1995; Abell & Oldham, 1999) or slightly puckered N-halogensuccinimides (Brown, 1961).



The β -naphthyl system of (I) is nearly planar, the largest deviation from planarity being 0.019 (1) Å for atom C6. This slight deviation from planarity is reflected by a dihedral angle between the two fused rings of 1.30 (6)°.

The S atom has a distorted tetrahedral environment, as already observed for arylsulfonyl-related compounds (White *et al.*, 1970; Karapetyan *et al.*, 1998; Jones *et al.*, 1986). The expanded O2-S-O3 angle [121.0 (1)°] and the resulting O1-S-C2 angle [101.6 (1)°], smaller than the ideal tetrahedral value, are attributed to the Thorpe–Ingold effect (Bassindale, 1984).

01326 Stefanowicz et al. • C₁₄H₁₁NO₅S

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved



Figure 1

A view of the molecule of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

A view showing the packing of the molecules of (I) in the unit cell. Dashed lines indicate the short C-H···O contacts. [Symmetry code: (i) $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$



Figure 3

A view showing the offset π -stacking (dashed lines) between the naphthyl rings. [Symmetry code: (ii) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.]

The crystal packing of (I) is characterized by two C-H···O-type hydrogen bonds (Table 2). Symmetry-related molecules are linked to each other in the ac plane, forming ribbons (Fig. 2). The β -naphthyl systems of adjacent ribbons are anti-parallel to each other and the distance between β -naphthyl planes is 3.536 (2) Å, resulting in an offset π stacking interaction, with atoms H1 and H8 of the naphthyl ring lying over the ring centroids (Fig. 3).

Experimental

For the synthesis of compound (I), naphthalene-2-sulfonyl chloride (6.50 g, 28.7 mmol) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (3.30 g, 28.7 mmol) were dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (30 ml); triethylamine (1.7 ml) was then added dropwise over 20 min. After 40 min, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and distilled water (50 ml) with 3 drops of concentrated hydrochloric acid was added. The precipitate was filtered off, washed twice with water and recrystallized from ethyl acetate. The yield of compound (I) was 8.00 g (98%), m.p. 438 K.

Crystal data

$C_{14}H_{11}NO_5S$	$D_x = 1.542 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 305.31$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 14 458
a = 12.090 (3) Å	reflections
b = 13.403 (3) Å	$\theta = 4.7 - 38.0^{\circ}$
c = 8.157 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.27 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 95.79 \ (3)^{\circ}$	T = 100 (2) K
V = 1315.0 (7) Å ³	Block, colourless
Z = 4	$0.45 \times 0.3 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$

7118 independent reflections 5112 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 38.0^{\circ}$ $h = -20 \rightarrow 20$ $k = -23 \rightarrow 21$

 $l = -14 \rightarrow 13$

Data collection

Oxford Xcalibur PX κ geometry
diffractometer
ω and φ scans
Absorption correction: numerical
(CrysAlisRED; Oxford
Diffraction, 2003)
$T_{\min} = 0.896, T_{\max} = 0.952$
29 699 measured reflections

Refinement

7118 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.64 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$	Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$ $wR(F^2) = 0.133$ S = 1.05 7118 reflections 734 parameters	All H-atom parameters refined $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0771P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.64 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = -0.52 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
234 parameters $\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.52 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$	234 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.52 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

S-O3	1.4289 (10)	N-C11	1.3918 (13)
S-O2	1.4305 (8)	N-C14	1.4006 (13)
S-O1	1.6599 (8)	C1-C2	1.3701 (15)
O1-N	1.3842 (11)	C1-C9	1.4198 (14)
O1-N-C11	118.97 (8)	C11-N-C14	116.25 (8)
O1-N-C14	121.34 (8)		

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$	
C1-H1···O5 ⁱ	0.98 (2)	2.31 (2)	3.275 (2)	166 (1)	
$C13-H13A\cdots O2^{i}$	0.96 (2)	2.54 (2)	3.316 (2)	138 (1)	
	. 3 1				

Symmetry code: (i) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrysAlisCCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2003); cell refinement: *CrysAlisRED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2003); data reduction: *CrysAlisRED*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPIII* (Burnett & Johnson, 1996), *ORTEP3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

References

- Abell, A. D. & Oldham, M. D. (1999). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 9, 497–500. Bassindale, A. (1984). The Third Dimension in Organic Chemistry, ch.1, p. 11. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Brown R. N. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 711-715.

- Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Grigorieva, L. P. & Chetkina, L. A. (1977). Zh. Strukt. Khim. (Russ. J. Struct. Chem.), 18, 908–916.
- Jones, P. G., Edwards, M. R. & Kirby, A. J. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 1228–1230. Karapetyan, A. A., Terzian, S. S. & Topuzian, V. O. (1998). Zh. Strukt. Khim. (Russ. J. Struct. Chem.), 39, 973–977.
- Karolak-Wojciechowska, J., Kwiatkowski, W. & Markowicz, W. (1993). J. Cryst. Spectrosc. Res. 23, 423–429.
- Miao, F. M., Wang, J. L. & Miao, X. S. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 712-713.
- Oxford Diffraction (2003). CrysAlisCCD and CrysAlisRED. Versions 1.171.
- Oxford Diffraction Poland, Wrocław, Poland. Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- White, E. H., Todd, M. J., Ribi, M., Ryan, T. J., Sieber, A. A. F., Dickerson, R. E. & Bordner, J. (1970). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **50**, 4467–4472.